

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/663,260 09/16/2003		Pavel V. Korchagin	20020330.CII	1455		
23595	7590 07/14/2006	07/14/2006		EXAMINER		
	& MERSEREAU, P.A.	CHIN SHUE	CHIN SHUE, ALVIN C			
900 SECONI SUITE 820	D AVENUE SOUTH	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
MINNEAPO	LIS, MN 55402	3634	3634			
			DATE MAILED: 07/14/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1 4 - 10 4		A 1' 4/ \				
Office Action Summary		Applicat	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/663,2	60	KORCHAGIN ET AL.				
		Examine	r	Art Unit				
			Chin-Shue	3634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR INCHEMENTAL INCHEMENTAL INCHEMENT IN THE MAIL INSIGNS OF THE MAIL INSIGNS OF THE MAIL INSIGNS OF THE MAIL INCHEMENT IN THE MAIL IN THE MAIL INCHEMENT IN THE MAIL INCHEMENT IN THE MAIL IN TH	NG DATE OF T CFR 1.136(a). In no er tion. period will apply and v y statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNICATION yent, however, may a reply be time yill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from polication to become ABANDONE	I. sely filed the mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·						
2a) <u></u> □	2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the applica	ation.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-4,8 and 9</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) <u>5-7</u> is/are objected to.							
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election	requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□ -	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment	Ne\							
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite	0.450)			
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ r No(s)/Mail Date	SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PT)	U-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/663,260 Page 2

Art Unit: 3634

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification as originally filed does not provide an adequate description of what is the claimed cramp.

Claims 2-4 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claims 2-4 do not further limit any previously positively recited element.

Claim 6 is objected to because of the following informalities: the phrase "the two elevators" lacks antecedent basis. Appropriate correction is required.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/663,260 Page 3

Art Unit: 3634

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear what passenger compartment has the claimed control, as there is no antecedent basis for such compartment.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1,2-4,8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cox in view of German pat. '762 to Boeker. Cox shows the claimed crane with the exception of the elevator portion. Boeker shows an elevator carriage 12 having rail engagement means 36,11. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Cox to comprise an elevator portion, as taught by Boeker, in lieu of his horizontal carriage 21.

Claims 1,2-4,8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over German pat. '762 to Boeker in view of Cox. Boeker shows the claimed apparatus with the exception of the crane portion. Cox shows a crane portion to enable both horizontal and vertical positioning of a platform. It would

Application/Control Number: 10/663,260 Page 4

Art Unit: 3634

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Boeker to comprise an crane portion, as taught by Cox, to enable vertical and horizontal positioning of his cabin 9.

Claims 5-7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin C. Chin-Shue whose telephone number is 571-272-6828. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Chilcot can be reached on 571-272-6777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Alvin C. Chin-Shue

Examiner Art Unit 3634

ACS